PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

see form PCT/ISA/220 Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below									
							International application No International filing date PCT/IB2005/050522 10 02 2005				1	Priority date (day/month/year) - 20 02 2004	
									ntication (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
							311	IB7/09		·			
	icant NINKLIJKE PHILI	IDO EI ECTDI	ONICS N V										
·—	MINKLIJKE PHIL	IFS ELECTR											
 1.	This opinion co	ntains indicat	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:									
•	<u> </u>		•										
	Box No I	Basis of the o	pinion		•								
	Box No III	Priority Non-actablish	ment of opinion with rea	eard to novelty inve	ntive step and industrial applicability								
	Box No IV	Lack of unity		garo to notony, nivo	inaro otop zine incession of passensy								
	☑ Box No V	Reasoned sta		s 1(a)(i) with regard is supporting such s	to novelty, inventive step or industrial datement								
	☐ Box No VI	Certain docur											
	Box No VII	Certain defec	ts in the international ap	plication	•								
	☐ Bax No VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internatio	onal application									
2	FURTHER ACTI	ION											
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66 1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.												
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later												
	For further option	ns, see Form I	PCTASAZZO		<u>.</u>								
3	For further deta	ils, see notes ti	o Form PCT/ISA/220										
		ner of the ISA		Authorized Office									
rva -	me and mailing addre	wo vi uit ida			A Principle of the Control of the Co	1							
		Patent Office		Damp, S	§ O)))							
-		89 2399 - 0 Tx 5	23656 epmu d		m m mm 7420	"							
1	Fay A49	89 2399 - 4465		letephone No 4	49 89 2399-7420	. 🛱							

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050522

_	Box N	lo. 1 Basis of the opinion				
1.	With re the lar	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in a riguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type	e of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	mat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3	ł	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating them has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050522

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novetty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,2,4,5

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-5

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-5

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Concerning Point V

1. The following documents are cited:

D1: US-B-6.342.978

D2: JP-A-2002.352.456 (cited in the application)

D3: US-A-2002/0.021.651

D4: US-A-5.018.836 D5: US-A-5.646.789

2. D1 (US-B-6.342.978) which is considered to present the closest state of the art describes an optical pickup device where the objective lens support is connected by six suspension spring wires with the pickup base; the six spring wires are divided into two sets of three wires where one wire of a set is supported by a bent portion and having a damping member, thus realizing a flexible connection (D1, fig.1,2; col.5, lines 20-47; col.6, lines 14-26).

Because these are the features defined in claim 1, claim 1 is not novel as required by Articles 33(1)(2) PCT.

The feature of claim 2 in that the spring wires being electrical conducting is known as well from D1 (D1, col.7, lines 10-20).

The feature of claim 3 in that the flexible connection comprises a resilient member is known as well from D1 (D1, col.6, lines 15-17; the bent portion being evidently of the same material as the spring wire).

Thus, claims 2 and 3 do not fulfil the novelty requirements of Articles 33(1)(2) PCT. Claim 3 defines the flexible connection being at the movable section side, while D1 shows the flexible portion at the static section side. Placing the flexible part at either of the two sides is seen to be a mere alternative for the skilled man, thus the subject-matter of claim 3 is not considered to be based on an inventive step as required by Articles 33(1)(3) PCT.

3. D2 (JP-A-2002.352.456, abstract; cited in the application) describes an optical pickup device where the objective lens support is connected by six suspension spring wires with the pickup base; the six spring wires are divided into two sets of three wires where one wire of a set has a free end part.

D2 is silent about the realization of "free end", where 'completely free' evidently does

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050522

not make any sense. Thus, the skilled man will look for realizations of suspension wires being not fixed at one end. Therefore, the skilled man will take into consideration D3 (fig.19, pars.137-139; fig.29, par.169), D4 (figs. 9,10; col.4, line 62 to col.5, line 22) or D5 (figs. 5,6; col.5, lines 32-45), all dealing with a flexible connection of a suspension wire. The features of the dependent claims are to be derived from these documents as well.

Thus, the subject-matter of the present claims is not considered to be based on an inventive step with respect to documents D2-D5 (Article 33(1)(3) PCT).